

On Zudilin's q -question about Schmidt's problem

Victor J. W. Guo¹ and Jiang Zeng²

¹Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University,
Shanghai 200062, People's Republic of China
jwguo@math.ecnu.edu.cn, <http://math.ecnu.edu.cn/~jwguo>

²Université de Lyon; Université Lyon 1; Institut Camille Jordan, UMR 5208 du CNRS;
43, boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France
zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr, <http://math.univ-lyon1.fr/~zeng>

Abstract. We propose an elementary approach to Zudilin's q -question about Schmidt's problem [Electron. J. Combin. 11 (2004), #R22], which has been solved in a previous paper [Acta Arith. 127 (2007), 17–31]. The new approach is based on a q -analogue of our recent result in [J. Number Theory 132 (2012), 1731–1740] derived from q -Pfaff-Saalschütz identity.

Keywords: Schmidt's problem, q -binomial coefficients, q -Pfaff-Saalschütz identity

AMS Subject Classifications: 05A10, 05A30, 11B65

1 Introduction

In 2007, answering a question of Zudilin [7], the following result was proved in [3].

Theorem 1.1. *Let $r \geq 1$. Then there exists a unique sequence of polynomials $\{c_i^{(r)}(q)\}_{i=0}^\infty$ in q with nonnegative integral coefficients such that, for any $n \geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n q^{r\binom{n-k}{2}+(1-r)\binom{n}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{\binom{n-i}{2}+(1-r)\binom{i}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix} c_i^{(r)}(q). \quad (1.1)$$

Here, the q -binomial coefficients $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ are defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{cases} \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_k (q)_{n-k}}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $(q)_0 = 1$ and $(q)_n = (1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It is well known that $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ is a polynomial in q with nonnegative integral coefficients of degree $k(n-k)$ (see [2, p. 33]).

The proof of (1.1) given in [3] is a q -analogue of Zudilin's [7] approach to Schmidt's problem (see [5, 6]) by first using the q -Legendre inversion formula to obtain a formula for $c_k^{(r)}(q)$ and then applying a basic hypergeometric identity due to Andrews [1] to show that

the latter expression is indeed a polynomial in q with nonnegative integral coefficients. In this paper we propose a new and elementary approach to Zudilin's q -question, which yields not only a new proof of Theorem 1.1, but also more solutions to Zudilin's q -question about Schmidt's problem.

Our starting point is the following q -version of Lemma 4.2 in [4].

Lemma 1.2. *Let $k \geq 0$ and $r \geq 1$. Then there exists a unique sequence of Laurent polynomials $\{P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)\}_{i=k}^{rk}$ in q with nonnegative integral coefficients such that, for any $n \geq k$,*

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r = \sum_{i=k}^{\min\{n, rk\}} q^{(rk-i)n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q). \quad (1.2)$$

Moreover, the polynomials $P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$ can be computed recursively by $P_{k,k}^{(1)}(q) = 1$ and

$$P_{k,k+j}^{(r+1)}(q) = \sum_{i=k}^{rk} q^{(j-i)(j+k)} \begin{bmatrix} k+i \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q), \quad 0 \leq j \leq rk. \quad (1.3)$$

To derive Theorem 1.1 from Lemma 1.2 we first consider a more general problem. Let $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ be any polynomials in x and y with integral coefficients. Multiplying (1.2) by $q^{-nkr+f(k,r)}$ and summing over k from 0 to n we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^n q^{-nkr+f(k,r)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{-ni-g(i,r)} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^i T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q) = q^{f(k,r)+g(i,r)} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q), \quad 0 \leq k \leq i, \quad \text{and} \quad P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q) = 0 \text{ if } i > kr. \quad (1.5)$$

Obviously $T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$ are Laurent polynomials in q with nonnegative integral coefficients. For example, taking $f = g = 0$, we immediately obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $r \geq 1$. Then there exists a unique sequence of Laurent polynomials $\{b_i^{(r)}(q)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ in q with nonnegative integral coefficients such that, for any $n \geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{k=0}^n q^{-rkn} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^r = \sum_{i=0}^n q^{-ni} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix} b_i^{(r)}(q). \quad (1.6)$$

Moreover, we have $b_i^{(r)}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^i P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$.

Now, we look for a sufficient condition for $T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$ in (1.4) to be a polynomial. It follows from (1.3) that

$$T_{k,i}^{(r+1)}(q) = \sum_{j=k}^{rk} q^A \begin{bmatrix} k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ k \end{bmatrix} T_{k,j}^{(r)}(q), \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$A = f(k, r+1) + g(i, r+1) - f(k, r) - g(j, r) + i(i - k - j). \quad (1.8)$$

Hence, the positivity of A will ensure that $T_{k,i}^{(r)}(q)$ is a polynomial in q .

We shall first prove Lemma 1.2 in the next section and then prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 by choosing special polynomials f and g . Some open problems are raised in Section 4.

2 Proof of Lemma 1.2

We proceed by induction on r . We need the following form of Jackson's q -Pfaff-Saalschütz identity (see [2, pp. 37-38] or [5] for example):

$$\begin{bmatrix} m+n \\ M \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ N \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j \geq 0} q^{(N-j)(M-m-j)} \begin{bmatrix} M-m \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} N+m \\ m+j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} m+n+j \\ M+N \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.1)$$

Substituting $m \rightarrow k-i$, $n \rightarrow n+i$, $M \rightarrow n-i$ and $N \rightarrow i$ in (2.1), we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ n-i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^i q^{(i-j)(n-k-j)} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k+j \\ n \end{bmatrix},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+i \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^i q^{(i-j)(n-k-j)} \frac{(q)_{k+i}(q)_j}{(q)_{k+j}(q)_i} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

It is clear that (1.2) holds for $r = 1$ with $P_{k,k}^{(r)}(q) = 1$. Suppose that (1.2) holds for some $r \geq 1$. Multiplying both sides of (1.2) by $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}$ and applying (2.2), we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}^{r+1} \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix}^{r+1} &= \sum_{i=k}^{rk} q^{(rk-i)n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{bmatrix} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{j=0}^i q^{(i-j)(n-k-j)} \frac{(q)_{k+i}(q)_j}{(q)_{k+j}(q)_i} \begin{bmatrix} k \\ i-j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-k \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k+j \\ j \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{rk} q^{(rk-j)n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n+k+j \\ k+j \end{bmatrix} P_{k,k+j}^{(r+1)}(q), \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $P_{k,k+j}^{(r+1)}(q)$ is given by (1.3). By the induction hypothesis, these $P_{k,k+j}^{(r+1)}(q)$ are Laurent polynomials in q with nonnegative integral coefficients. Hence Lemma 1.2 is true for $r+1$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In (1.4), taking $f(k, r) = r \binom{k+1}{2}$, $g(i, r) = (r-2) \binom{i}{2} - i$, and multiplying by $q \binom{n}{2}$, we obtain (1.1) with

$$c_i^{(r)}(q) = q^{(r-2)\binom{i}{2}-i} \sum_{k=0}^i q^{r\binom{k+1}{2}} P_{k,i}^{(r)}(q). \quad (3.1)$$

By (1.8) the corresponding A reads as follows

$$A = (r-2) \left[\binom{i}{2} - \binom{j}{2} \right] + \binom{i-k}{2} + (i-1)(i-j).$$

If $r \geq 2$, since $i \geq j$, we have $A \geq 0$. If $r = 1$, then (1.7) implies that $j = k$ and $A = 2 \binom{i-k}{2} \geq 0$. Thus the $c_i^{(r)}(q)$ in (3.1) is a polynomial in q . For example, by (1.5) we have

$$T_{k,i}^{(2)}(q) = q^{2\binom{i-k}{2}} \binom{2k}{i} \binom{i}{k}^2,$$

and

$$c_i^{(2)}(q) = \sum_{k=0}^i q^{2\binom{i-k}{2}} \binom{2k}{i} \binom{i}{k}^2,$$

which coincides with [3, (3,1)].

4 Open problems

For any positive integers r and s , it is easy to see that there are uniquely determined rational numbers $c_k^{(r,s)}$ ($k \geq 0$), independent of n ($n \geq 0$), satisfying

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^r \binom{n+k}{k}^r = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^s \binom{n+k}{k}^s c_k^{(r,s)}. \quad (4.1)$$

When $s = 1$ and $r \geq 1$, the integrality of $c_k^{(r,s)}$ is the original problem of Schmidt [5]. When $s > 1$ and $r > s$, we observe that the numbers $c_k^{(r,s)}$ are not always integers. From arithmetical point of view, the following problems may be interesting.

Conjecture 4.1. *For any $s > 1$ and $n \geq 0$, there is an integer $r > s$ such that all the numbers $c_k^{(r,s)}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) are integers.*

For $s = 2$, via Maple, we find that the least such integers $r := r(n, s)$ are $r(0, 2) = r(1, 2) = r(2, 2) = 3, r(3, 2) = 7, r(4, 2) = 32, r(5, 2) = 212$.

Conjecture 4.2. *For any $r > s > 1$, there is a positive integer n such that $c_n^{(r,s)}$ is not an integer.*

Acknowledgments. This work was partially supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, The Theory and Application of Special Functions (R. Askey, Editor), Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 191–224.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [3] V.J.W. Guo, F. Jhouhet, and J. Zeng, Factors of alternating sums of products of binomial and q -binomial coefficients, *Acta Arith.* 127 (2007), 17–31.
- [4] V.J.W. Guo, J. Zeng, Proof of some conjectures of Z.-W. Sun on congruences for Apéry polynomials, *J. Number Theory* 132 (2012), 1731–1740.
- [5] A.L. Schmidt, Generalized q -Legendre polynomials, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 49 (1993), 243–249.
- [6] V. Strehl, Binomial identities — combinatorial and algorithmical aspects, *Discrete Math.* 136 (1994), 309–346.
- [7] W. Zudilin, On a combinatorial problem of Asmus Schmidt, *Electron. J. Combin.* 11 (2004), #R22.